## OASIS:

## Collaborative Neural-Enhanced Mobile Video Streaming

Shuowei Jin, Ruiyang Zhu, Ahmad Hassan<sup>†</sup>, Xiao Zhu, Xumiao Zhang, Z. Morley Mao, Feng Qian<sup>†</sup>, Zhi-Li Zhang<sup>‡</sup>

University of Michigan, <sup>†</sup>University of Southern California, <sup>‡</sup>University of Minnesota jinsw@umich.edu

Presenter: Jinwei Zhao, University of Victoria, Canada

#### Outline

- Background and Motivation
- System Design
- Evaluation Results
- Conclusion

#### Background: Neural-enhanced Video Streaming<sup>[1,2]</sup>



#### Neural-enhanced Video Streaming Workflow

- Idea: Transfer the burden from network to computation.
- Such system can do high-quality video streaming under low/fluctuating network bandwidth conditions.
- Save data usage while delivering high-quality video.
  - [1] Neural Adaptive Content-aware Internet Video Delivery. OSDI 2018.
  - [2] Neural-Enhanced Live Streaming: Improving Live Video Ingest via Online Learning. SIGCOMM'20

#### Background: Neural-enhanced Video Streaming<sup>[1,2]</sup>



#### Neural-enhanced Video Streaming Workflow

- Idea: Transfer the burden from network to computation.
- Such system can do high-quality video streaming under low/fluctuating network bandwidth conditions.
- Save data usage while delivering high-quality video.
  - [1] Neural Adaptive Content-aware Internet Video Delivery. OSDI 2018.
  - [2] Neural-Enhanced Live Streaming: Improving Live Video Ingest via Online Learning. SIGCOMM'20



## Previous systems focus on the PC with high-performance GPU<sup>[1,2]</sup>.

[1] Neural Adaptive Content-aware Internet Video Delivery. OSDI 2018.[2] Neural-Enhanced Live Streaming: Improving Live Video Ingest via Online Learning. SIGCOMM'20



# Previous systems focus on the PC with high-performance GPU<sup>[1,2]</sup>.

#### How can we do it on the **Mobile** Side?



[1] Neural Adaptive Content-aware Internet Video Delivery. OSDI 2018.

[2] Neural-Enhanced Live Streaming: Improving Live Video Ingest via Online Learning. SIGCOMM'20

#### **Motivation Experiment**

- Experiment Setting:
  - Measure different super resolution (SR) model processing speed on different devices.
  - Record total energy consumption (Screen energy consumption is subtracted from the result.)
- Conclusion:
  - Single mobile device SR processing speed is *less than 24-30 FPS*, not enough.
  - SR procedure consumes too much energy for single device. 30-min SR video streaming leads to 28%-57% battery drain.



#### **Motivation Experiment**

- Experiment Setting:
  - Measure different super resolution (SR) model processing speed on different devices.
  - Record total energy consumption (Screen energy consumption is subtracted from the result.)
- Conclusion:



# How to perform neural-enhanced video streaming on the Mobile Side?

Solution: Multi-device collaboration.

- Leverage all devices' network and computation resources to perform neural-enhanced video streaming.
- Benefits:
  - Scalability: Capable of processing complicated SR models as the system scales up.
  - Energy Saving: The heavy computation task is distributed across all devices.
    Each device will have less energy consumption.

#### Motivation: Incentives to use multi-devices

- It is becoming more usual for users to own numerous mobile devices.
  - 53% of adults in the United States possess a tablet.<sup>[1]</sup>
  - 33% of American households own three or more smartphones.<sup>[2]</sup>
- A group of people gather to watch the same video clip from YouTube, Netflix.
  - 50% of male YouTube viewers between the ages of 18 and 34 watch YouTube clips in person with friends.<sup>[3]</sup>

[1] Statista. https://www.statista.com/statistics/756045/tablet-owners-among-us-adults/.

[2] pewresearch. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2017/05/25/a-third-of-americans-live-in-a-household-with-three-or-more-smartphones/

[3] Gen V research, Google. http://www.youtube.com/yt/advertise/medias/pdfs/research-gen-v-men-2.pdf

#### Problem Formulation & Challenges

**Problem:** A video streaming system enables multiple mobile devices in close proximity to do collaboratively neural-enhanced video streaming on each devices.

#### **Challenges:**

ABR in multi-device system: select bitrate and SR model



Scheduling: heterogeneous network and computation resources



## Outline

- Background and Motivation
- System Design
- Evaluation Results
- Conclusion

#### System Workflow

- Offline Video-content Preparation:
  - Encode, segment the upload video to multiple-bitrate video chunks, train SR models.
- Online Video Streaming:
  - Multiple devices connect via peer-to-peer socket.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  One device as the controller, the rest as the agents.



#### System Architecture

- Task Scheduling (Scheduler):
  - OASIS-ABR: choose download bitrate and SR model.
  - OASIS-SCHED: schedule the chunk downloading, forwarding and SR tasks to each device.
- Task Processing (Processor):
  - Execute assigned tasks, measure performance and inform the Scheduler
- Chunk Distribution (Distributor):
  - Forward downloaded chunks, broadcast post-SR chunks.



#### OASIS-ABR

- Goal:
  - Adaptively select the optimal download bitrate and SR model combination based on system parameters: throughput, buffer, SR speed of each device.

#### OASIS-ABR

- Goal:
  - Adaptively select the optimal download bitrate and SR model combination based on system parameters: throughput, buffer, SR speed of each device.
- Algorithm Steps:
  - System total throughput modeling.
  - ABR decision making.

#### OASIS-ABR: System Throughput Modeling

- *Insights 1*: Bottleneck determines upper bound throughput.
  - Our system employs a pipeline design, streamlining chunk downloading, SR processing, and post-SR chunk distribution.
  - Bottleneck throughput represents the upper bound for total throughput.

#### OASIS-ABR: System Throughput Modeling

- *Insights 1*: Bottleneck determines upper bound throughput.
  - Our system employs a pipeline design, streamlining chunk downloading, SR processing, and post-SR chunk distribution.
  - Bottleneck throughput represents the upper bound for total throughput.
- *Insights 2*: Due to multiple device synchronization, overhead exists.
  - Predicting overhead based on historical overhead values.
  - Total\_Throughput = Bottleneck\_Throughput Overhead.

#### OASIS-ABR: System Throughput Modeling

- *Insights 1*: Bottleneck determines upper bound throughput.
  - Our system employs a pipeline design, streamlining chunk downloading, SR processing, and post-SR chunk distribution.
  - Bottleneck throughput represents the upper bound for total throughput.
- *Insights 2*: Due to multiple device synchronization, overhead exists.
  - Predicting overhead based on historical overhead values.
  - Total\_Throughput = Bottleneck\_Throughput Overhead.

Predicted **Throughput** -> Estimate the Rebuffer Time -> Predict **QoE**.

#### OASIS-ABR: Balance exploration and exploitation

- For each (bitrate, SR Model) **combination**, throughput -> Predicted QoE.
- Rather than selecting highest QoE, we choose highest upper confidence bound (**UCB**) value.
  - UCB = Predicted QoE + Uncertainty term.
    - As a combination is explored more (C<sub>i</sub> increases), its uncertainty diminishes, promoting the exploration of less investigated combinations.

$$UCB_{i} = \hat{QoE}_{i} + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\log(\sum C_{i} + 1)}{C_{i} + 1}}$$
  
Number of times the combination has been explored

#### OASIS-SCHED: Chunk Scheduling Algorithm

- Output: Tasks for each device: download/forward/SR tasks.
- Goal:
  - Maximize throughput & Prioritizing the completion time of earlier chunks -> Minimize stall time -> Improve QoE.
- Workflow: (two steps)
  - First step (high-level): schedule the data flow across devices.
    - Key Idea: Find the dataflow to maximally utilize all devices' network and computation resources.
  - Second (detailed-level): schedule the chunk IDs to devices.
    - Key Idea: Ensure earlier chunk finishes earlier.



## Outline

- Background and Motivation
- System Design
- Evaluation Results
- Conclusion

#### **Experiment Setup**

Baselines.

• End-to-end system baselines: MicroCast, MPBond.

#### **Devices: 7 devices in total.**

- 2 Pixel5 (PX5), 1 Samsung S10 (S10), 3 Samsung S20 (S20), 1 Samsung S21U (S21U).
- A monsoon power monitor connected to S10, the other one connected to S20.

#### SR Models:

• 180p->720p, 180p->1080p, 360p->720p, 360p->1080p

#### **Evaluation Results**

- OASIS outperforms MPBond, MicroCast, No-Collaboration by improving 35%-230% on average QoE.
- OASIS reaches 37% to 100% less stall comparing with the baselines.
- OASIS's average QoE keep increasing when the system scales up.



#### **Energy Experiment**

- Adding more device into the system can reduce per device energy usage.
  - Per device energy consumption decreases by 60% when system scales up from 1 device to 6 devices.



## Outline

- Background and Motivation
- System Design
- Evaluation Results
- Conclusion

#### Conclusion

- OASIS is the first system to realize both network-level and computation-level collaboration to perform neural-enhanced video streaming.
- OASIS proposes a new direction in multi-device collaboration, setting a precedent for future research.

## Thank you